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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The shift to the knowledge-based economy has serious implications for the state’s workforce system and its citizens. The skill demands of Colorado’s high growth industries will require more Colorado residents to complete a postsecondary education in order to meet the demands of the changing workforce. Educational attainment is not only the key to a strong workforce. It is also the mechanism that provides Colorado’s citizens with the skills needed to gain entry into jobs that pay a self-sufficient wage. As Colorado looks ahead at the needs of a skilled workforce, the issue of remediation emerges as a critical issue. For the community college system, which is open to all students regardless of their past academic performance, the issue has an even greater significance. Demographic data and college performance data tell us the following:

- More than half of recent high school graduates who require remediation as a prerequisite for college level work enroll in Colorado’s community colleges.
- Roughly half of the approximately 36,000 adults age 25 and older who enrolled in Colorado community colleges in 2004 required remediation.
- One million adults in Colorado have no postsecondary education.
- High school alignment issues, the increase in low-skilled adults seeking postsecondary education, and the growing Hispanic population will result in greater remedial education demands in the next decade.
- There is a large gap in educational attainment between minorities and whites across the state and within the community college system. While the CCCS has improved in minority enrollment, retention and graduation measures, the gap remains.
- Students who complete the remediation sequence are as successful as non-remedial students in college courses. At the same time, increasing the numbers of remedial students who complete the remedial sequence and graduate or transfer to four-year institutions remains a serious challenge.

Working from this knowledge and experience base, the CCCS has been investigating ways to implement new and innovative methods to improve outcomes for students who arrive at college without the necessary academic preparation to succeed.

In 2004, The Ford Foundation, as part of its Bridges to Opportunity Grant to the CCCS, commissioned a study of costs and outcomes of three successful Community College of Denver programs for academically under-prepared students. The study was followed by a Lumina Foundation for Education demonstration grant (2005-2006) to expand best practices in remediation at Community College of Denver, Community College of Aurora and Front Range Community College. Project outcomes show that alternative curriculum and instruction strategies, coupled with student supports, are effective in increasing overall remedial retention and success. Some of these methods have been implemented within small populations at other CCCS institutions and show similar evidence of improved outcomes.

While certain aspects of remedial education are funded, the majority of best practices are not -- forcing colleges to rely on intermittent external funding. The challenge for the CCCS is to institutionalize research-validated best practices across the colleges in order to promote success of its remedial student population, transition these students into college-level coursework, and ultimately enable these students to enter the workforce and earn a livable wage.

Implementing policies that strengthen remediation will help provide Colorado’s employers with the skilled labor force they require, thereby reducing the need for importing educated workers from other...
states. In addition, by educating its own workers, Colorado will be providing its residents with the skills to support a family with a livable wage, adding to the economic vitality of the state and decreasing the demand for public assistance.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND NEXT STEPS

1. Plan strategically to provide structures for college leadership and faculty to engage with and improve remediation practices at the college and system levels.
   - Determine the current capacity of colleges to deliver high quality remediation by implementing the college assessment process developed by the Lumina Foundation project at all system colleges.
   - Based on the assessments of current capacity, support the expansion or institutionalization of best practices through the distribution of funds to CCCS colleges over a three-year period.
   - Provide colleges and the CCCS with a strategic decision-making tool by developing a cost/benefit analysis that links the costs and effectiveness of remedial strategies.
   - Evaluate the cost/benefit of remedial best practices and develop a structure to disseminate best practices to colleges throughout the CCCS.

2. Implement a culture of evidence that will allow the system, as well as faculty and administrators at the college level, to assess what’s working and to adopt practices that improve student outcomes.
   - Work with colleges to track the rate at which cohorts of students: a) complete remedial instruction by subject area, and b) take and pass gatekeeper math and English. Disaggregate the results by student age, race/ethnicity and gender (to identify substantial gaps among different student groups).
   - Convene groups of faculty involved in teaching remedial courses and those involved in teaching college-level courses along with student support staff to examine carefully the data on the progress and outcomes of students in remedial and gatekeeper courses, including: assessing the effectiveness of current or past efforts to address problems in student achievement, and devising strategies for addressing the gaps in student achievement.

3. Organize key collaborative structures that will facilitate communication between different groups of state educators and policy makers to support improved practice.
   - Bring together college faculty with high school teachers in math and English to examine data on the need for remediation of incoming students by high school and subject area; compare the assessments, curricula and program standards at each level; and devise strategies for improving the readiness of high school students for college.
   - Partner with the workforce, Adult Basic Education and K-12 systems, including Career and Technical Education at the high school and college level, to align curriculum and leverage resources to prepare more youth and adults for college level work and employment.
LOW RATES OF ENROLLMENT IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Despite the fact that Colorado ranks among the top states in percentage of the population with a college degree, the state ranks very low in educating its native population. This dilemma – labeled the “Colorado Paradox” – contrasts Colorado’s success in importing an educated workforce from other states with its failure to transition its own high school students through the educational pipeline to college graduation.

The rate that Colorado graduates its high school students ranks the state 26th in the nation. According to the National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS), based on 2000 data, 71 out of every 100 Colorado ninth graders graduate from high school, 37 enter college, 26 are still enrolled their sophomore year, and 18 graduate from college within 150 percent of expected time. The issue of low college attainment is both a local and national problem, one that is intertwined with the complex challenge of remediation in postsecondary education.

* Professionals in postsecondary education distinguish between remedial education and developmental education. Within the field, remedial education refers to relearning skills that were mastered at one time, but forgotten; as opposed to developmental education, which refers to building foundation skills that were never learned. For the purposes of this report, the two terms are used interchangeably.

ECONOMIC IMPACT OF EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT

With the application of new technologies and business strategies affecting all occupations, the skill content of even lower level jobs has dramatically increased. The economy is pushing future and current workers toward postsecondary education, and the economic bias toward postsecondary education and training is steadily increasing.

The importance of postsecondary skill development has not been lost on the American worker.

According to the National Household Education Survey (NHES), the estimated number of adults age 25 and older in any form of adult education increased from 58 million in 1991 to 90 million in 1999, an increase of more than 50 percent in less than a decade. NHES estimated that in 2002, almost four million adults age 25 and older whose educational attainment was a high school diploma were attempting to earn a postsecondary credential. A large number of these adults are enrolling in community colleges.

Clearly, many under-prepared adult workers have come to the conclusion that it is in their interest to acquire more education and skills. As these working adults look toward community colleges to provide this training, they increase the pressure on community colleges already facing a remediation challenge across the United States.

Despite this growing trend, there are still more than a million Colorado adults age 25 and older - about 36 percent of the total population - who have no postsecondary education. Adults with no postsecondary education tend to come from lower income families, with low parental educational attainment, and often are minority. These demographics describe the very population that - as open access institutions - community colleges strive to serve. Without the ability to access higher education, many of these families will continue in a cycle - generation after generation, working in low-skill, low-wage jobs.

The consequences of not obtaining postsecondary education pose a serious financial risk for Coloradans. In Colorado, the average hourly wage for all occupations requiring postsecondary education is $28.58, versus an average of $15.89 for those jobs that do not require some form of postsecondary training. In Denver County, this average wage of $15.89 falls below the minimum self-sufficiency wage for a single parent with one or two children (Colorado Fiscal Policy Institute, 2004).

For comparison purposes, the annual self-sufficiency income in Denver County for a family of four is $47,341. A self-sufficiency income is the minimum threshold needed for a family to meet its basic needs without assistance, but does not include items such as savings,
credit card payments, restaurant take-out, vehicle repairs, etc. Based on 1999 data (US Census), there were almost 42,000 Denver County families earning less than the self-sufficiency wage.

Educational attainment also influences the likelihood of unemployment. In 2000, Americans with a high school diploma were half as likely to be unemployed than those without, and those who had earned an associate degree were almost three times less likely to be unemployed. Exhibit 1 shows the unemployment rate per educational attainment.

**Exhibit 1**

![US Unemployment Rate per Educational Attainment, 2000](chart.png)

Survey data indicates that the number of students placing into remedial level coursework based on assessment testing at the community college level is increasing. In 1991 the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) found that 36 percent of students entering public community colleges enrolled in at least one remedial course. By 2000 that figure had increased to 42 percent. The increase is driven, in part, by rising math requirements in two-year job training programs and growing numbers of students enrolling in these programs.

**Issue: Economic Development Imperative**
- Postsecondary education is increasingly required to earn family-supporting wages, prompting more youth and adults to seek higher education and training to advance their careers.

**Policy Implication**
- The CCCS should support policies and practices that expand career opportunities for youth and adults.

The issue of college readiness transcends both four-year and two-year college students. According to the Colorado Commission on Higher Education (CCHE), roughly one-third of first-time recent Colorado high school graduates beginning college require remedial coursework. Of those matriculating high school students requiring remediation, more than half enroll in community colleges.
**Issue: Remediation Needs of Recent High School Graduates**

- Of the one-third of matriculating high school students who require remediation, more than half enroll in community colleges.

**Policy Implication**

- Higher education institutions, especially community colleges, should work with K-12 to align secondary and postsecondary curriculum and connect with students at the secondary level through strategies, such as:
  - early and middle colleges
  - articulated career pathways through CTE (Career and Technical Education)
  - summer bridge programs

**Issue: Increased Need**

- The need for remediation is increasing in both the number of students requiring remedial coursework and in the number of remedial courses required per student.

**Policy Implication**

- The CCCS colleges should anticipate a growing number of remedial students and focus on the successful completion of the remedial sequence in the CCCS strategic planning process.

**IMPACT OF TIME IN COMPLETION OF REMEDIAL SEQUENCE**

The increase in time spent in remediation has serious implications for student success. Research by Norton Grubb and Cliff Adleman shows that the longer students spend in remediation the less likely they are to graduate. Grubb’s 1998 research concluded that, of students who require nine or more credits in remedial courses (the equivalent of three remedial courses), only about 25 percent will complete all of their remedial courses and only about 4 percent will complete a degree within five years of initial enrollment. Several recent studies of remedial students show that remedial students who persist and complete the remediation sequence are just as successful in college as students who enroll directly into college level work. However, the low retention rate of remedial students poses an ongoing and serious challenge.
Issue: Impact of Time on Remedial Success
- Research indicates the longer the remediation sequence, the less likely students are to persist and graduate.

Policy Implication
- The CCCS should expand remedial strategies that enable students to complete the remediation sequence more quickly, such as hybrid development courses, accelerated courses, accelerated development learning communities, on-line courses and expanded weekend delivery.

ADULT REMEDIATION ISSUES
Remediation isn’t just an issue for matriculating high school students. Exhibit 2 shows that 60 percent of remedial students are 20 years of age or older. Of the approximately 36,000 adults age 25 and older enrolling in Colorado community colleges in 2004, roughly half required remedial coursework. Many of these older students completed their high school requirements under less demanding circumstances and did not expect to be employed in jobs that required postsecondary education.

Adults who do not use skills routinely are highly likely to lose them. Given this, the remedial needs of adults are not surprising. These gaps are most pronounced in the area of mathematics. Even the skills of a student who excelled in trigonometry in high school are likely to deteriorate once out of school if not practiced and more than a few college graduates would be unable to answer college algebra questions like those on the college placement tests. (Example Accuplacer™ test questions are provided in Exhibit 3). For those who were underserved academically, dropped out, or earned a GED, the need for remediation as a precursor for college-level work is likely to be even more pronounced.

Exhibit 3
The following sample questions are similar to the format and content of questions on the Accuplacer™ Elementary Algebra test.

a. \[ 4^2 - 5^2 \]
\[ (4 - 5)^2 \]

b. Write the following in scientific notation:
\[ 0.00000000000000523 \]

c. Solve: \[ 4a^2 + 9a + 2 = 0 \]

Answers to these problems are in Appendix 1.

Exhibit 2

Sixty percent of remedial students in fall 2006 were 20 years of age or older, indicating that CCCS colleges serve a significant proportion of adults in remedial programs.
Issue: Adults and Remediation

- Adults make up a large percentage of CCCS remedial students, with approximately half of returning adults requiring remediation.

Policy Implication

- Remedial programs at community colleges should provide programs for entering adults who need to refresh their skills, work with Adult Basic Education providers to align curriculum, and partner with employers to provide opportunities for adults to prepare for college-level work.

COLORADO REMEDIATION POLICY

In 1991, Colorado House Bill 91-1464 mandated that students enroll in the appropriate levels of English, reading and math, based on their Accuplacer™ assessment scores. Colorado’s decision to implement mandatory assessment and placement corresponds with a national trend in community colleges.

In 2000, the Colorado Commission on Higher Education (CCHE) adopted its Statewide Remedial Education policy which outlines standards and procedures for basic skills testing and instruction at the state’s public colleges (Appendix 2). Only Adams State, Mesa State, CCCS colleges, Aims Community College and Colorado Mountain College may receive state funding for basic skills instruction on behalf of eligible undergraduate students, according to Colorado Statute 23-1-113.3. (Appendix 3).

Financial aid eligible students who have a high school diploma or GED and who enroll in these courses qualify for federal and state assistance. These courses do not count toward completion of the degree or certificate requirements of college programs. However, they are eligible for the Colorado Opportunity Fund (COF) stipend and do not count against the total number of life-time COF credits.

CCCS REMEDIAL PROFILE

For academic year 2007, CCCS total remedial FTE was 3,725 and the total cost for remedial instruction for the same time period was $9,611,446. Most recently, remedial education accounted for approximately 8 percent of the total state appropriation in our colleges.

The Colorado Community College System structures remedial courses in three levels; Reading 030, 060 and 090; Writing 030, 060 and 090; and Math 030, 060 and 090. Exhibit 4 shows that of matriculating high school graduates requiring remediation, the greatest demand and lowest pass rates were for remedial math.
The combination of a greater need and lower success rate for remedial math courses is significant because math skills are increasingly critical to the state’s economy. In the next decade and beyond, Colorado is expected to continue moving toward a knowledge-based economy with jobs that require successful mastery of science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) skills. The Colorado Institute of Technology recently reported that the aerospace and energy sectors, both critical high-growth sectors in Colorado’s economic development, anticipate a significant labor shortage due to retirement. The bioscience sector, another technical sector requiring college level math skills, also anticipates major job growth within the next 15 years.

### Issue: Math as the Gatekeeper to College Success
- The low pass rate in remedial math courses and the critical role of math skills in preparing students for the knowledge economy underline the importance of math in overall student success.

### Policy Implication
- Colorado community colleges should focus additional attention and resources on the development and delivery of innovative remedial math instruction.
DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS IN REMEDIATION

The need for remedial education cuts across all income brackets, but a higher number of low-income students require it. In Colorado, a greater percentage, by population, of remedial students is African American, Hispanic, or Native American than white or Asian (Exhibit 5). In addition, females are slightly more likely than males to require remediation (CCHE). Nationally, like Colorado, although the majority of remedial students are white, minority groups are overrepresented.

Exhibit 5

Demographic projections indicate that the need for remedial education will continue to grow. Between 2000 and 2020, the Colorado Hispanic working age population is expected to increase by 39 percent. Yet, Hispanics in Colorado show the lowest educational achievement as a group. Nearly 42 percent of Colorado Hispanic adults have not earned a high school diploma and only 15 percent have gained some form of postsecondary degree. This percentage of educational attainment compares with 16 percent of African Americans lacking a high school diploma and 29 percent with a post-high school degree (Exhibit 6). Further, the gap in educational attainment between whites and non-whites has grown significantly over the past decade, with Colorado posting the widest gap of any state.

Exhibit 6

The changing demographic composition of the population, combined with the educational attainment gap for Hispanics, will translate into a serious economic issue if Hispanic students are unable to successfully access and graduate with postsecondary certificates and degrees. The economic impact will affect not only these families, but also the state in terms of decreased per-capita income of Colorado’s citizens combined with increased demand for public assistance. As this population attempts to access education resulting in a livable wage, there will be an increased need for remedial education. The added burden will be placed on our state’s community colleges, which function as gateways to higher education and serve the largest minority populations.
BEST PRACTICES IN REMEDIAL EDUCATION

There are several national organizations that focus on developmental education, such as the National Association of Developmental Educators (NADE) and the National Center for Developmental Education. One of the key principals and researchers in the field, Dr. Hunter S. Boylan, synthesized research in developmental education in his 2001 publication, *What Works in Remediation: Lessons from 30 Years of Research*. A key remediation practice, highlighted by Boylan and championed by Dr. Vincent Tinto, is that of learning communities. Learning communities combine two elements that appear to result in higher course completion rates and retention for remedial students: cohort learning and the application of skills in meaningful contexts. They operate by combining courses, such as linking a skills-based course with a content course; for example, a college preparatory course in reading with a sociology course; or by pairing two developmental courses, such as intermediate English and reading.

Students in learning communities spend more time together than they would in unpaired courses and are encouraged to develop social networks with other students in their cohort. Researchers have documented higher levels of student satisfaction, course completion, and semester-to-semester retention among remedial and community college students who participate in learning communities.

Several strategies cited by Boylan, such as learning communities and learning laboratories, are being implemented in Colorado community colleges. (A complete list of Boylan’s best practices appears in Appendix 4.) For example, academic support programs, delivered in a learning laboratory setting, are the backbone of a strong developmental program. These learning labs, known technically as vestibule labs, are supported in Colorado through a fee-for-service contract with the Colorado Commission on Higher Education, although the extent of their use varies greatly. Research shows that a key factor in the effectiveness of learning labs is the quality of training provided to tutors who are assigned to work in small group and/or individualized settings. However, professional development for the adjunct faculty who staff these labs is not generally funded. Another remediation strategy that is growing in use is the college orientation course, currently offered at several community colleges as a one-credit course. AAA College 101 takes students through topics such as time management, study skills, student supports, educational planning, financial aid and negotiating college systems.

Overall, Colorado community colleges have identified and are implementing a number of best practices in remediation, although the number and scale of these strategies, the degree in which they are being implemented and the funding that supports them varies by institution.

### Issue: Demographic Trends in Remediation

- Minorities and low income students comprise a greater proportion, by population, of remedial students.
- With the Hispanic working-age population in Colorado expected to increase by more than a third in coming years, remedial education will be in ever-greater demand in the foreseeable future.

### Policy Implication

- Colorado community colleges should strengthen outreach strategies to minority populations, continue to implement innovative strategies in remediation, and promote transitions from ESL to developmental education and college level programs.
COLORADO COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM
THE COLORADO REMEDIAL CHALLENGE

**Issue: Research Validated Best Practices**
- A growing body of research identifies successful remedial practices that positively impact student retention and success.

**Policy Implication**
- The CCCS should incorporate the development, implementation, dissemination and institutionalization of best practices in remediation into its strategic plan.

**RECENT EFFORTS TO IMPROVE THE DELIVERY OF DEVELOPMENTAL EDUCATION**

In recent years, certain segments of the grants community have increased their funding to state and regional consortia, as opposed to funding individual colleges. The reasoning given for this shift is that system-wide reforms have a greater potential to impact the state policy arena, which increases the likelihood that successful grant-sponsored innovations will be sustained beyond the term of the grant through state funding.

Two recent CCCS grants illustrate this trend. A 2004 report, funded by the Ford Foundation’s Bridges to Opportunity grant to the CCGS, examined the costs and outcomes of three successful Community College of Denver programs for academically under-prepared adults. The Lumina Foundation for Education followed with a 2004 implementation grant to expand successful remedial practice at three metro community colleges: Community College of Denver (CCD), Community College of Aurora (CCA), and Front Range Community College (FRCC). The overall goals of the project were improved student outcomes and a cost analysis of best practices that could be utilized by colleges in their strategic planning process. The key grant objectives were to support the implementation of new strategies at each of the colleges, to evaluate the costs and outcomes of these strategies and to promote institutional change based on project findings.

The first phase of the Lumina project, which ended in August 2006, demonstrated strong outcomes related to specific strategies and a strengthening of continuous improvement processes within the participating colleges. As part of the grant process, each college worked with the grant leadership team to assess the college’s capacity to serve the developmental population, to select appropriate strategies that would strengthen services to this population and to evaluate both the costs and the outcomes associated with these strategies. The outcome measures of the project were: completion of remedial instruction, passage of gatekeeper courses in math and English, course completion, retention, and certificate and degree attainment.

CCD implemented two strategies, English as a Second Language learning communities and accelerated developmental education learning communities, with statistically significant results. CCA introduced a college orientation course and professional development program for developmental faculty, coupled with a tutoring program. FRCC implemented a professional development program for developmental education faculty, launched a hybrid developmental education learning community and expanded its learning communities to include paired courses that combine developmental English and college-level general education courses. The key to the success of each college’s plan was the ability of colleges to assess their own programs and to build strategies that would address their needs, as well as capitalize on their distinctive strengths and resources.

Based on the success of early outcomes at all three participating colleges, the Lumina Foundation awarded a supplemental grant of $324,000 to the CCCS for an additional two years. During this time, colleges are continuing the work begun in the first phase of the grant, as well as tracking and analyzing the costs and outcomes of these practices. Another major goal of the supplemental grant is the institutionalization of these practices within the colleges and the dissemination of best practices throughout the system.
REPLICATION AND INSTITUTIONALIZATION OF BEST PRACTICES

While some of the variability in the degree of implementation of best practices in CCCS colleges is a result of individual college leadership, the greatest variation results from the availability of external funding to support these practices. Many college administrators and faculty understand the importance of these strategies and work aggressively to secure external funds for activities like learning communities, supplemental instruction, curriculum development, professional development and enhanced student services. For the most part, grants that support the implementation of research-validated best practices are targeted to specific populations, such as first generation students or students with disabilities.

Grant-funded programs are an important source for bringing innovations to the colleges and raising the colleges’ profiles in the community, but the numbers served by grants are generally small compared to the numbers of students who need similar services. A second downside of grants is that funds are time-limited, which discourages the institutionalization of strategies. Some of these time-limited grants, like TRIO and Carl Perkins, are eligible for renewal at regular intervals, while others are one-time opportunities. In many cases, a condition of these one-time grants is that services started under the grants will be institutionalized after the grant period has ended. This type of financial commitment was particularly difficult for colleges in the past five years, when state funding was drastically reduced and colleges struggled to reduce existing costs at the same time as they sought to honor their commitments to institutionalize grant-funded programs.

For the most part, key strategies that are associated with excellence in developmental education are not being offered in Colorado because of the lack of stable funding. For example, full staffing of vestibule labs with professional tutors exceeds the revenue the labs generate. Tutoring, while available through Carl Perkins, is limited to vocational students and usually is exhausted before the end of each semester. Professional development and strong student advising - both key remediation strategies - are not provided for through state funding. Learning communities and supplemental computer-based instruction, two other significant strategies, are being implemented at some campuses, but once again the costs fall outside of the traditional state funding formula.

Issue: Funding Excellence and Innovation

- Pockets of excellence in remedial practice have been implemented through external grant funds in several colleges, but are limited to small discrete populations because of funding restrictions.
- CCCS colleges do not have a consistent source of funding to cover the range of remedial education costs to implement an effective array of best practices.

Policy Implication

- The CCCS should provide funding for the assessment and implementation of best practices so that successful strategies can be brought to scale across the system.
SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Issue: Economic Development Imperative
- Postsecondary education is increasingly required to earn family-supporting wages, prompting more youth and adults to seek higher education and training to advance their careers.

Policy Implication
- The CCCS should support policies and practices that expand career opportunities for youth and adults.

Issue: Remediation Needs of Recent High School Graduates
- Of the one-third of matriculating high school students who require remediation, more than half enroll in community colleges.

Policy Implication
- Higher education, especially community colleges, should work with K-12 to align secondary and postsecondary curriculum and connect with students at the secondary level through strategies, such as early and middle colleges, articulated career pathways through CTE (Career and Technical Education), and summer bridge programs.

Issue: Increased Need
- The need for remediation is increasing in both the number of students requiring remedial coursework and in the number of remedial courses required per student.

Policy Implication
- The CCCS colleges should anticipate a growing number of remedial students and focus on the successful completion of the remedial sequence in the CCCS strategic planning process.

Issue: Impact of Time on Remedial Success
- Research indicates the longer the remediation sequence, the less likely students are to persist and graduate.

Policy Implication
- The CCCS should expand remedial strategies that enable students to complete the remediation sequence more quickly, such as hybrid developmental courses, accelerated courses, accelerated developmental learning communities, on-line courses, and expanded weekend delivery.

Issue: Adults and Remediation
- Adults make up a large percentage of CCCS remedial students, with approximately half of returning adults requiring remedial education.

Policy Implication
- Remedial education at community colleges should provide programs for entering adults who need to refresh their skills, work with Adult Basic Education providers to align curriculum, and partner with employers to provide opportunities for adults to prepare for college-level work.
**Issue: Math as the Gatekeeper to College Success**
- The low pass rate in remedial math courses and the critical role of math skills in preparing students for the knowledge economy underline the importance of math in overall student success.

**Policy Implication**
- Colorado community colleges should focus additional attention and resources on the delivery of innovative remedial math instruction.

**Issue: Demographic Trends in Remediation**
- Minorities and low income students comprise a greater proportion, by population, of remedial students.
- With the Hispanic working-age population in Colorado expected to increase by more than a third in coming years, remedial education will be in ever-greater demand in the foreseeable future.

**Policy Implication**
- Colorado community colleges should strengthen outreach strategies to minority populations, continue to implement innovative strategies in remediation and promote transitions from ESL to developmental education and college level programs.

**Issue: Research-Validated Best Practices**
- A growing body of research identifies successful remedial practices that positively impact student retention and success.

**Policy Implication**
- The CCCS should incorporate the development, implementation, dissemination and institutionalization of best practices in remediation into its strategic plan.

**Issue: Funding Excellence and Innovation**
- Pockets of excellence in remedial practice have been implemented through external grant funds in several colleges, but are limited to small discrete populations because of funding restrictions.
- CCCS colleges do not have a consistent source of funding to cover the range of remedial education costs to implement an effective array of best practices.

**Policy Implication**
- The CCCS should provide funding for the assessment and implementation of best practices, so that successful strategies can be brought to scale across the system.
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APPENDIX 1
Answers to Accuplacer™ Practice Questions

1) \( \frac{4^2 - 5^2}{(4 - 5)^2} \)

The answer is -9. When working with parentheses, exponents, multiplication, division, addition and subtraction, the order of operations should be followed. First, address parentheses or exponents as one calculates from left to right, followed by multiplication and division and then addition and subtraction.

2) Write the following in scientific notation: 0.0000000000000523

The answer is 0.0000000000000523 = 5.23 X 10⁻¹⁴. All numbers in scientific notation have the following form: nonzerodigit.restofnumber ×10power

3) \( 4a^2 + 9a + 2 = 0 \)

The answer is:
\[
4a^2 + 9a + 2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (4a + 1)(a + 2) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 4a + 1 = 0 \text{ or } a + 2 = 0
\]
\[
\Rightarrow \quad a = -\frac{1}{4} \text{ or } a = -2
\]

Steps:
1) Isolate zero on one side of the equation
2) Factor
3) Set each factor to zero
4) Solve for your variable

If you can not factor the equation and the quadratic is in the form \( ax^2 + bx + c = 0 \), then use the quadratic formula.
APPENDIX 2

SECTION I

PART E STATEWIDE REMEDIAL EDUCATION POLICY

1.0 Introduction

This policy is designed to ensure that:

All enrolled first-time undergraduate students (as defined in section 3.04) are prepared to succeed in college-level courses.

Students assessed as needing remedial instruction, have accurate information regarding course availability and options to meet the college entry-level competencies.

Colorado public high schools are informed about the level of college readiness of their recent high school graduates.

The policy applies to all state-supported institutions of higher education (i.e., four-year and two-year colleges), including all entering undergraduates and freshmen admitted into extension programs of the state-supported universities and colleges. The governing boards and institutions of the public system of higher education in Colorado are obligated to conform to the policies set by the Commission within the authorities delegated to it by C.R.S. 23-1-113.3.

Commission directive – basic skills courses (1) ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 1, 2000; THE COMMISSION SHALL ADOPT AND THE GOVERNING BOARDS SHALL IMPLEMENT STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES WHEREBY BASIC SKILLS COURSES, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 23-1-113 (4) (c), MAY BE OFFERED BY STATE INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION.

2.00 Role and Responsibilities

2.01 Commission Role and Responsibilities

2.01.01 To design and implement statewide policies for remedial education.

2.01.02 To provide the General Assembly information on the number, type, and cost of remedial education provided.

2.01.03 To develop appropriate funding policies that support the institutional roles and missions.

2.01.04 To ensure the comparability of these placement or assessment tests.
2.01.05  To ensure that each student identified as needing basic skills remedial course work is provided with written notification identifying which state institutions offer such basic skills courses and the approximate cost and relative availability of such courses, including any electronic on-line courses.

2.02  Governing Board Role and Responsibilities

2.02.01  To ensure that each enrolled first-time undergraduate enrolled at one of its institutions is assessed in mathematics, writing, and reading prior to enrolling in the second semester of their college career. If the student has completed one of the following within the past five years, no additional assessment is required:

2.02.01.01  scored a 19 or higher mathematics subscore, an 18 or higher writing (English) subscore, and a 17 or higher reading subscore on the ACT Assessment Test; or

2.02.01.02  scored 430 or higher on the SAT Verbal (English) for reading, 440 or higher on the SAT Verbal (English) for writing, and 460 or higher on the SAT mathematics; or

2.02.01.03  scored 85 or higher on the Accuplacer Elementary Algebra test, 95 or higher on the Accuplacer Sentence Skills test, 80 or higher on the Accuplacer Reading Comprehension test; or

2.02.01.04  met one of the following criteria for exemption from assessment. In addition to those listed in section 3.04.02, exemptions include students who: a) have successfully completed a college-level mathematics and college-level writing course; or b) have successfully completed necessary remedial course(s), if required, in mathematics, writing, and reading.

Note: Successfully completed refers to a student who earns a grade of C- or higher or a Satisfactory completion.

2.02.02  To ensure that each enrolled first-time undergraduate whose assessment score indicates inadequate college preparation in mathematics, writing, and reading has the appropriate advising information regarding the necessity to enroll in remedial skill classes during the first semester following a placement test.

2.02.03  To ensure that each enrolled first-time undergraduate shall take placement or assessment tests in mathematics, writing, and reading, and that institutions inform the students needing remediation of the responsibility to complete the course work within the first 30 semester hours.

2.02.04  To ensure that each enrolled first-time undergraduate identified as needing basic skills remedial course work is provided with written notification identifying which state institutions offer such basic skills courses, including any electronic on-line courses.
2.03 Institutional Role and Responsibilities

2.03.01 To assess college readiness in mathematics, writing, and reading and ensure that the state-approved tests are administered as needed.

2.03.02 To inform students identified with remedial needs that s/he should complete remediation no later than the end of the freshmen year (i.e., within the first 30 semester hours after being matriculated as a college student). For students with unmet remedial needs who have completed 30 or more credit hours, institutions must require that a student meet with an academic advisor on the need to address basic skill deficiencies before registering for additional course work.

2.03.03 To place students in the appropriate level of course work upon assessment. Students not meeting the specified minimum cut score may be placed in college-level courses and reported as such, provided that a student’s transcripts or other secondary-level assessment justifies such placement.

2.03.04 To offer basic skills courses as allowed within statutory role and mission.

2.03.05 To submit remedial data to the Commission.

2.04 Student Responsibilities

2.04.01 To take the required assessment and placement tests, if necessary, prior to or during the first term of enrollment.

2.04.02 To take the appropriate remedial course work no later than the end of their freshman year (i.e., within the first 30 semester hours) if a student is identified as needing remediation.

3.0 Terminology

3.01 Assessment Tests: Colorado accepts three assessment instruments for determining if the first-time student is college ready in mathematics, writing, and reading based on the relevant cut scores listed in Attachment B.

3.01.01 ACT:
Math: ACT Assessment mathematics subscore
Writing: ACT Assessment English subscore
Reading: ACT Assessment reading subscore

3.01.02 SAT:
Math: SAT Mathematics
Writing and Reading: SAT Verbal

3.01.03 Accuplacer:
Math: Elementary Algebra
Writing: Sentence Skills
Reading: Reading Comprehension
3.02 Basic Skills: Courses that are designed to provide instruction in academic skills or remedial courses that are necessary content preparation for college-level work. By definition, basic skills courses will not count as credit for any academic degree at an institution. Vocational certificates and A.A.S. degrees are excluded from this definition of academic degrees.

3.02.01 Academic Skills: Basic skills courses that teach study skills necessary to succeed in college. Examples of such courses include Study Skills, College Survival Skills, Listening and Note Taking, How to Study Your Textbooks, and Memory and Test Taking.

3.02.02 Remedial Courses: Basic skills courses designed for students deficient in the academic competencies necessary to succeed in a regular college curriculum, including:

a) Mathematics – Courses that primarily cover concepts introduced in elementary algebra, geometry, and intermediate algebra. The courses focus on word problems that would most likely be solved by arithmetic, knowledge of number systems (e.g., positive and negative numbers, square root, squares, percent, ratio, and conversion of fractions to decimals), simple equations, and finding information from a graph.

b) Writing – Courses that concentrate primarily on grammar, word usage, and punctuation. The courses focus on the student’s ability to construct sentences with basic agreement among nouns, verbs, and pronouns in the same phrase, avoid gross errors in simple sentence structures, and logically select and order main ideas in a paragraph using appropriate transition words.

c) Reading – Courses that focus primarily on non-technical vocabulary, word identification, and reading of everyday material. The courses focus on developing the student’s ability to recognize and comprehend discrete pieces of information, understand relationships explicitly stated in a paragraph or passage, and comprehend words or phrases in context.

Course work may be delivered through traditional classroom methods or vestibule laboratories. Vestibule labs are supervised by faculty to offer instruction in specifically-identified basic skill deficiencies. Deficiencies may be self-identified by the student or from instructor referral.

3.03 College level courses: Courses that apply to the graduation requirements of an academic degree.

3.04 First-Time Undergraduate: As applied in this policy, an undergraduate student enrolling in a higher education institution for the first time with no previous postsecondary experience. Enrollment in personal enrichment or vocational courses is not considered previous postsecondary experience. Prior enrollment as a high school student concurrently enrolled in a higher education institution does not preclude a student from being categorized as first-time.
Three groups of students are included in the definition of first-time undergraduate unless exempted below:

3.04.01 first-time, degree-seeking undergraduates;
3.04.01.01 non-degree-seeking undergraduates who change to degree-seeking status; and
3.04.01.03 non-degree-seeking first-time undergraduates who have graduated from a Colorado public or private high school (or its equivalent) during the previous academic year.

Students who have completed either a college-level mathematics and college-level writing course or a remedial course (if required) in mathematics, writing, and reading are exempt from assessment. Other students exempt from assessment include those who:

3.04.02.01 earned a baccalaureate degree; or
3.04.02.02 earned a transfer-oriented associate degree (i.e., A.A. or A.S.); excludes A.G.S. and A.A.S. graduates; or
3.04.02.03 are pursuing a vocational certificate. Note that some institutions’ assessment requirements may be more stringent than that set by the Commission (e.g., requiring assessment of certificate-seekers); or
3.04.02.04 are a concurrently enrolled high school student until they are matriculated by the institution as a degree-seeking undergraduate by an institution; or
3.04.02.05 are exchange students (students coming from another institution, state, or country for study) for a defined period of time (e.g., academic term or year); or
3.04.02.06 are non-degree-seeking undergraduates (unless recent high school graduates referenced above) until they become degree-seeking.

Pursuant to C.R.S. 23-1-113.3, CCHE must provide a high school feedback report to Colorado school districts on remediation of their recent high school graduates. For that report, recent high school graduates are defined as degree- and non-degree-seeking undergraduates who a) have graduated from a Colorado public or private high school (or its equivalent) during the previous academic year; or b) are 17, 18, or 19 years of age if year of high school graduation is not provided by the higher education institution. Age will be calculated as of September 15 of the specified fiscal year.

4.00 Process and Procedures

4.01 Governing Board Policy Requirements and Format

- In order to comply with section 2.02.01 and 2.02.02 of this policy, each governing
board shall require its institutions to develop remedial procedures that:

- Specify the test administration policy, including dates and location or test administrator (e.g., contract with another college).
- Specify its practices for informing students regarding the availability of remedial courses, including any electronic on-line courses.
- Specify the practices for determining how the students who are diagnosed as needing remedial courses have satisfied the remedial requirements.

4.02 Funding

4.02.01 Any state-supported institution of higher education with a two-year statutory role and mission may offer and receive state general fund for basic skills courses.

4.02.02 Any state-supported institution of higher education without a two-year role and mission is prohibited from claiming general fund support for basic skill credit hours. However, these institutions may offer basic skills courses by contracting with a Colorado public community college or on a cash-funded basis, except for Metropolitan State College of Denver and the University of Colorado at Denver. Colorado statute states that the Community College of Denver is the only institution on the Auraria campus authorized to deliver basic skills courses – for state support or for cash.

4.02.03 No institution of higher education may include basic skills credit hours generated by postsecondary options (PSEO) or FastTrack students in the number claimed for state general fund support or include students concurrently enrolled in homeschooling.

5.0 Accountability and Data Reporting

5.01 Any institution that provides basic skills courses – whether the courses are delivered for cash or receive state support -- shall collect data regarding student performance, including data that describes the students who take basic skills courses, the school districts from which said students graduated, the year in which they graduated, the basic skill areas that required remedial instruction, and the credit hours earned in remedial courses.

5.02 All institutions providing basic skills courses shall submit the required files to the Commission, following its prescribed data definitions and reporting dates.

5.03 The Commission shall transmit annually to the Education Committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Joint Budget Committee, and the Department of Education, an analysis of the data including:

- The number of students who take basic skills courses,
- The costs of providing basic skills courses, and
• Whether students who complete said basic skill courses successfully complete the requirements for graduation.

To determine the students included in the recent high school graduate cohort, the age will be estimated using the date of birth provided by the institution (as of September 15 of the fiscal year being reported) if a student’s high school graduation date is not submitted.

5.04 The Commission shall disseminate the analysis to each Colorado school district and the public high schools within each district, complying with CCHE’s adopted Privacy Policy.

5.05 The institutions shall provide any financial information, including FTE generated by remedial courses and program costs, following prescribed data definitions and formats.

This table will be monitored annually once Colorado data are collected. Cut scores may be adjusted higher or lower based on empirical data of student performance in college mathematics and college writing courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SKILL AREA</th>
<th>ACT Subscore</th>
<th>SAT Subscore</th>
<th>ACCUPLACER Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Math: 19</td>
<td>Math 460</td>
<td>Elementary Algebra: 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>English: 18</td>
<td>Verbal 440</td>
<td>Sentence Skills: 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Reading: 17</td>
<td>Verbal 430</td>
<td>Reading Comprehension: 80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 3

COLORADO STATUTES
TITLE 23 HIGHER EDUCATION AND VOCATIONAL TRAINING/STATE
UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES/General and Administrative/ARTICLE 1 COLORADO COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION/23-1-113.3.
Commission directive -- basic skills courses.

23-1-113.3. Commission directive - basic skills courses.
Statute text
(1) On or before September 1, 2000, the commission shall adopt and the governing boards shall implement standards and procedures whereby basic skills courses, as defined in section 23-1-113 (1) (b) (II) (A.7), may be offered by state institutions of higher education pursuant to this section.
(2) (a) Adams state college, Mesa state college, any local community college, and any community college governed by the state board for community colleges and occupational education may offer basic skills courses, as defined in section 23-1-113 (1) (b) (II) (A.7), and receive stipend payments from the state on behalf of eligible undergraduate students, as defined in section 23-18-102 (5), enrolled in basic skills courses.
(b) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (5) of this section, any state institution of higher education not specified in paragraph (a) of this subsection (2) is prohibited from offering a basic skills course, unless the course is offered by contract through any of the institutions of higher education specified in paragraph (a) of this subsection (2).
(c) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (b) of this subsection (2), Metropolitan state college of Denver and the University of Colorado at Denver are prohibited from offering basic skills courses either directly or through contract with an institution specified in paragraph (a) of this subsection (2).
(3) The state board for community colleges and occupational education, local community colleges, Adams state college, and Mesa state college shall:
(a) Track all students who are required to take basic skills courses pursuant to section 23-1-113 (1) (b) (I) (B) in order to determine whether those students successfully complete requirements for graduation;
(b) Compile data regarding student performance that describes with regard to students who take basic skills courses pursuant to section 23-1-113 (1) (b) (I) (B):
(I) The school districts from which said students graduated; and
(II) The number of said students graduating from each school district; and
(III) The basic skills for which said students require remediation; and
(c) Report annually to the commission the data compiled pursuant to paragraphs (a) and (b) of this subsection (3).
(4) (a) The commission shall transmit annually to the education committees of the senate and the house of representatives, the joint budget committee, and the department of education an analysis of the data:
(I) Regarding students who take basic skills courses pursuant to section 23-1-113 (1) (b) (I) (B); and
(II) Regarding the costs of providing basic skills courses pursuant to section 23-1-113 (1) (b) (I) (B) and whether students who complete said basic skills courses successfully complete the requirements for graduation.
(b) The commission shall disseminate the analysis to each school district and to public high schools within each district.
(5) Any state institution of higher education not specified in paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of this section offering a basic skills course on a cash-funded basis shall report annually to the commission the same data that is required to be compiled and reported pursuant to paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (3) of this section.
(6) For purposes of this section, "local community college" shall include Aims Community College, Colorado Mountain College, Northeastern Junior College, and Colorado Northwestern Community College.
History
Annotations
Cross references: For the legislative findings and declarations contained in the 2004 act amending subsection (2)(a), see section 1 of chapter 215, Session Laws of Colorado 2004.
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APPENDIX 4

Summary of Boylan's Research-Validated Best Practices

- The establishment of clearly specified goals and objectives for developmental programs and courses.
- The use of mastery learning techniques in remedial courses.
- The provision of a high degree of structure in remedial courses.
- The use of a variety of approaches and methods in remedial instruction.
- The application of sound cognitive theory in the design and delivery of remedial courses.
- The provision of a centralized or highly coordinated remedial program.
- The use of formative evaluation to guide program development and improvement.
- The establishment of a strong philosophy of learning to develop program goals and objectives and to deliver program services.
- The implementation of mandatory assessment and placement.
- The provision of a counseling component integrated into the structure of remedial education.
- The provision of tutoring performed by well-trained tutors.
- The integration of classroom and laboratory activities.
- The establishment of an institution-wide commitment to remediation.
- The assurance of consistency between exit standards for remedial courses and entry standards for the regular curriculum.
- The use of learning communities in remedial instruction.
- The use of Supplemental Instruction, particularly video-based Supplemental Instruction to support remedial courses.
- The provision of courses or workshops on strategic thinking.
- The provision of staff training and professional development for those who work with under prepared students.
- The provision of ongoing student orientation courses.
- The integration of critical thinking into the remedial curriculum.